U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE DOCUMENTS



DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, ss:



DECLARATION OF KATHERINE C. FENNELL

- I, Katherine C. Fennell, declare and say as follows:
- 1. I am an Attorney-Adviser in the Office of the Legal Adviser, Department of State, Washington, D.C. This office has responsibility for extradition requests within the Department of State, and I am charged with the extradition case of Jason Steadman, also known as "Robert Aubrey-Maxwell," also known as "Ryan Peterson," also known as "Stephen Preston," also known as "Rory Preston." I make the following statements based upon my personal knowledge and upon information made available to me in the performance of my official duties.
- 2. In accordance with the provisions of the extradition treaty in full force and effect between the United States and Canada, the Canadian Embassy has submitted Diplomatic Note No. 3260, dated May 9, 2016, formally requesting the extradition of Jason Steadman. A copy of the diplomatic note is attached to this declaration.
- 3. The relevant and applicable treaty provisions in full force and effect between the United States and Canada are found in the Extradition Treaty between the United States of America and Canada of December 3, 1971, which entered into force on March 22, 1976 (TIAS 8237) (the "1971 Treaty"), the Protocol Amending the Extradition Treaty with Canada of January 11, 1988, which entered into force on November 26, 1991 (the "1988 Protocol"), and the Second Protocol Amending the Extradition Treaty with Canada of January 12, 2001, which entered into force on April 30, 2003 (the "2001 Protocol"). Copies of the Treaty and the Protocols are attached to this declaration.
- 4. In accordance with Article 17 of the 1971 Treaty, the Government of the United States provides legal representation in its courts for Canada in its extradition requests, and Canada provides legal representation in its courts for extradition requests made by the United States.

United States of America



DEPARTMENT OF STATE

To all to whom these presents shall come, Greetings:

fy That Katherine C. Fennell, whose name is subscribed to the document hereunto annexed, time of subscribing the same Attorney Adviser, Office of the Legal Adviser, Department of ed States of America, and that full faith and credit are due to her acts as such.

This certificate is not valid if it is removed or altered in any way whatsoever

In testimony whereof, I, John F. Kerry, Secretary of State, have hereunto caused the seal of the Department of State to be affixed and my name subscribed by the Assistant Authentication Officer, of the said Department, at the city of Washington, in the District of Columbia, this twenty-second day of July, 2016.

sg. pursuant to CHXIV of 15, 1789, 1 Stat. 62 22 2657; 22USC 2651a, C 28 USC 1733 et. seq., C 243(f); RULE 44 Federal I

ivil Procedure

Mahn J. Keny Secretary of State By Matthews

> Assistant Authentication Officer, Department of State



- 5. The offenses for which extradition is sought are extraditable offenses pursuant to Article 2 of the 1971 Treaty, as replaced by Article I of the 1988 Protocol. Article 2 of the 1971 Treaty, as replaced by Article I of the 1988 Protocol, provides that extradition shall be granted for conduct which constitutes an offense punishable by the laws of both Canada and the United States by imprisonment or other form of detention for a term exceeding one year or any greater punishment.
- 6. The documents submitted by the Government of Canada in support of its extradition request were certified on May 9, 2016, by Bruce A. Heyman, Ambassador of the United States of America in Ottawa, in accordance with Title 18, United States Code, Section 3190. Ambassador Heyman, at the time of his certification, was the principal diplomatic officer of the United States in Canada.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed on July 22, 2016.

KATHERINE C. FENNELL

Attachments:

1. Copy of Note

2. Copy of Treaty and Protocols

L/LEI 2016 HAY 10 A 11: 02 GEPARTMENT OF STATE



Ambassade du Canada

Note No. 3260

The Embassy of Canada presents its compliments to the Department of State and has the honour to request the extradition of Jason Steadman also known as (Robert Aubrey-Maxwell; Ryan Preston; Stephen Preston; Rory Preston) an American citizen, born on 1974 (also uses 1989) in Florida, United States.

The subject is described as being 6'1" in height and weights 230 lbs; blue eyes, and light brown hair; with a mole on his left cheek below his eye.

Photographs of the person sought are appended to the supporting extradition documents.

Canada is seeking the extradition of Jason Steadman to stand trial for the alleged offences of:

- First Degree Murder, contrary to section 235(1) of the Criminal
 Code;
 - Arson, contrary to section 434 of the Criminal Code.

The charges above against Mr. Steadman are alleged to have been committed on or about the 31st day of May 2015 at or near Edmonton, Alberta. He is wanted for the first degree murder of Dwayne Demkiw and he is also wanted for the arson of Dwayne Demkiw's vehicle in an attempt to destroy evidence.

Information Number 151221728P1 was sworn before Stewart N.

Douglas, Justice of the Peace and Commissioner of Oaths for the

Province of Alberta, on October 7, 2015.

Warrant for Arrest was sworn before Stewart N. Douglas, Justice of the Peace and Commissioner of Oaths for the Province of Alberta, on October 7, 2015. There is no statute of limitations in respect of the offences for which the person sought stands charged. The offences are punishable by more than one year of imprisonment.

Mr. Steadman is being detained at the Federal Detention Center SeaTac, Seattle, Washington State, Register Number 36072-086.

The Prosecuting Attorney is Terry E. Hofmann, Alberta Crown Prosecutor, telephone 760-422-1111



WASHINGTON, D.C.

May 09, 2016

107TH CONGRESS 2d Session

SENATE

TREATY DOC. 107-11

SECOND PROTOCOL AMENDING EXTRADITION TREATY WITH CANADA

MESSAGE

FROM

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

TRANSMITTING

SECOND PROTOCOL AMENDING THE TREATY ON EXTRADITION BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA, SIGNED AT OTTAWA ON JANUARY 12, 2001



JULY 11, 2002.—The Protocol was read the first time, and together with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations and ordered to be printed for the use of the Senate

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

99-118

WASHINGTON: 2002

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

THE WHITE HOUSE, July 11, 2002.

To the Senate of the United States:

With a view to receiving the advice and consent of the Senate to ratification, I transmit herewith the Second Protocol Amending the Treaty on Extradition Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Canada, as amended, signed at Ottawa on January 12, 2001. In addition, I transmit, for the information of the Senate, the report of the Department of State with respect to the Second Protocol. As the report explains, the Second Protocol will not require implementing legislation.

The Second Protocol amends the Extradition Treaty Between the United States of America and Canada, signed at Washington on December 3, 1971, as amended by an Exchange of Notes of June 28 and July 9, 1974, and by a Protocol signed at Ottawa on Janu-

ary 11, 1988. The Second Protocol, upon entry into force, will enhance cooperation between the law enforcement communities of both nations. The Second Protocol incorporates into the U.S.-Canada Extradition Treaty a provision on temporary surrender of persons that is a standard provision in more recent U.S. bilateral extradition treaties. It also provides for new authentication requirements of documentary evidence, which should streamline the processing of extradition requests.

I recommend that the Senate give early and favorable consideration to the Second Protocol and give its advice and consent to rati-

fication.

GEORGE W. BUSH.

LETTER OF SUBMITTAL

DEPARTMENT OF STATE, Washington, May 31, 2002.

The PRESIDENT,

THE PRESIDENT: I have the honor to submit to you the Second The White House. Protocol Amending the Treaty on Extradition Between the Government of the United states of America and the Government of Canada, signed at Ottawa on January 12, 2001 ("Second Protocol"). I recommend that the Second Protocol be transmitted to the Senate

for its advice and consent to ratification.

The Second Protocol will strengthen the U.S.-Canada extradition relationship by incorporating a temporary surrender mechanism into the Extradition Treaty Between the United States of America and Canada, signed at Washington on December 3, 1971, as amended by an Exchange of Notes of June 28 and July 9, 1974, amended by an Exchange of Notes of June 28 and July 9, 1974, and have Protocol signed at Ottawa on January 11, 1988 (Extraand by a Protocol signed at Ottawa on January 11, 1988 ("Extra-dition Treaty"). The Second Protocol will also streamline the extradition process by modifying the Extradition Treaty's authentication requirements relating to the admissibility of documentary evidence.

A temporary surrender mechanism has become a standard provision in more recent U.S. bilateral extradition treaties. It allows person who have been found extraditable to be temporarily surrendered to one State to stand trial while they are still serving sentences in the other State. Temporary surrender can be an important tool for use in cases where serious crimes have been committed in one country which might go unpunished if trial in that country were to be delayed for a long period while a sentence was being served for crimes committed in the other country. It enables sequential trials of individuals who have committed extraditable offenses in both countries at a time when witnesses and evidence to both crimes are more readily available.

Article 1 of the Second Protocol amends the Extradition Treaty to provide for a new Article 7bis, which will follow Article 7's existing provisions authorizing the State in receipt of an extradition request ("requested State") to delay surrender until after proceedings against a person in that State have been completed or the person's

sentence in that State has been served. New article 7bis(1) provides that if the requested State has granted an extradition request in accordance with the Treaty with respect to a person who already has been convicted and sentenced in the requested State, it may temporarily surrender the person to the requesting State for prosecution. It further provides that the courts of the requested State must not be divested by virtue of the temporary surrender of jurisdiction over any appeal or habeas corpus application relating to the conviction or sentence in the re-

quested State.

Article 7bis(2) provides that the person surrendered pursuant to paragraph (1) must be kept in custody in the requesting State. It also provides that the person must be returned to the requested State within forty-five days after the conclusion of the proceedings for which the person's presence was required or at another time as specified by the requested State, in accordance with conditions determined by the Parties. This provision anticipates that authorities in the United States and Canada, which in some cases will include state-level authorities, will consult to determine appropriate conditions for the temporary surrender of an individual, including arrangements for the transfer and return of the prisoner, as well as any extraordinary matters that may be relevant, such as medical care requirements. Consistent with our normal extradition practice, any case-specific agreements or assurances relating to the temporary surrender would be concluded by the federal authorities on behalf of state authorities. Similar to the language in paragraph (1), Article 7bis(2) also provides that the transfer of the person back to the requested State will not divest the courts of the requesting State of jurisdiction over any appeal or habeas corpus application relating to the matter for which the prisoner was temporarily surrendered.

Article 7bis(3) provides that the time spent in custody in the requesting State may be credited to the sentence in the requested State. In the case of the United States, credit for time served by a person surrendered to Canadian authorities may differ among

U.S. state and federal authorities.

Article 7bis(4) provides that the requested State can waive the return of the surrendered person in the event the person's sentence in the requested State expires during the temporary surrender period. Article 7bis(4) provides that in such cases the person's sur-render shall be considered a "final surrender" under the Extra-

Because temporary surrender is contingent on a grant of extradition, Article 7bis(5) provides that the requesting State does not have to make a further request for the extradition of a person who has been returned to the requested State after having been convicted and sentenced in the requesting State for the offense for

which temporary surrender was granted. Article 7bis(6) provides that a person who has been returned to the requested State, after having been convicted and sentenced during a temporary surrender, must be finally surrendered once the custodial portion of the person's sentence in the requested State has been completed or, if the requested State so specifies, at an earlier time. This provision contemplates that the requested State will finally surrender a person who has been released on parole or under other conditions. It also envisions that the requested State may choose to surrender the person at an earlier time.

Article 7bis(7) recognizes that there may be reasons not to proceed with final surrender even though the person was convicted and sentenced during a temporary surrender. Article 7bis(7) (a) provides that final surrender will not take place when the requesting State advises that it is no longer required because the sentence imposed in the requesting State has expired or for other reasons. Similarly, Article 7bis(7) (b) provides that the person will not be surrendered to the requesting State in the event the competent authority of the requested State revokes its original grant of extra-

Article 2 of the Second Protocol will establish a new framework for the admissibility of documentary evidence in support of a request for extradition by replacing existing Article 10(2) of the Ex-

Consistent with U.S. extradition law on the admissibility of docutradition Treaty. mentation, new Article 10(2)(a) reiterates the existing requirement that, in the case of a request from Canada, documents be authenticated by an officer of the Department of Justice of Canada and certified by the principal diplomatic or consular office of the United States in Canada. Article 10(2)(b), however, changes existing requirements with respect to requests emanating from the United States, so as to take advantage of changes in Canadian law regarding the admissibility of extradition documents in Canadian courts. Specifically, Article 10(2)(b) eliminates the requirement that the United States have its documentary evidence in support of extradition requests to Canada authenticated by an officer of the U.S. Department of State and certified by the principal diplomatic or consular officer of Canada in the United States. Instead, Article 10(2) (b) streamlines the authentication process by allowing documents to be certified by a judicial authority or prosecutor who attests that the evidence is available for trial and is sufficient to justify prosecution under the law of the prosecuting jurisdiction. When the person whose extradition is sought has already been convicted, documents supporting the U.S. request are to be certified by a judicial, prosecuting or correctional authority who can attest to the fact that the documents are accurate. These changes should simplify and thereby reduce the administrative burden of processing extradition requests by the United States.

New Article 10(2) (c) provides an alternative to subparagraphs (a) and (b), by providing that documents may also be certified or authenticated in any other manner accepted by the law of the requested State. This addition will enable both countries to take ad-

vantage of any changes to their applicable laws.

Article 3 of the Second Protocol addresses the relationship between the Second Protocol and the Extradition Treaty. Paragraph (1) provides that the Second Protocol will form an integral part of the Extradition Treaty. Paragraph (2) provides for retroactivity, noting that, notwithstanding paragraph (2) of Article 18 of the Extradition Treaty, the Second Protocol will apply in all cases where the request for extradition is made after its entry into force regardless of whether the offense was committed before or after that date. Finally, paragraph (3) provides that the Second Protocol is subject to ratification, and enters into force upon the exchange of instruments of ratification. The Second Protocol would terminate upon termination of the Extradition Treaty.

The Second Protocol does not require implementing legislation. A Technical Analysis explaining in detail the provisions of the Second Protocol is being prepared by the United States negotiating delegation, consisting of the Departments of State and Justice, and will

VIII

be submitted separately to the Senate Committee on Foreign Rela-

The Department of Justice joins the Department of State in favoring approval of this Second Protocol by the Senate at an early date.

Respectfully submitted,

COLIN L. POWELL.

SECOND PROTOCOL AMENDING THE TREATY ON EXTRADITION BETWEEN

THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND

THE GOVERNEMENT OF CANADA

Signed at Washington on December 3, 1971, as amended by an Exchange of Notes at Washington on June 28 and July 9, 1974, and by a Protocol signed at Offawa on January 11, 1988

THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA (hereinafter "the Parties");

RECOGNIZING the close bilateral relationship which exists between them, reflected in numerous instruments and mechanisms of legal cooperation;

COMMITTED to strengthening legal cooperation in the fight against crime; and

DESIRING to make more effective the Extradition Treaty between the Parties, signed at Washington on December 3, 1971 (hereinafter "the Extradition Treaty"), as amended by an exchange of notes of June 28 and July 9, 1974, and the Protocol to the Extradition Treaty between the Parties, signed at Ottawa on January 11, 1988 (hereinafter "the Protocol");

HAVE AGREED as follows:

ARTICLE 1

The Extradition Treaty is amended by adding the following after Article 7:

*Article 7 bis

The requested State, after granting an extradition request made in accordance
with the Extradition Treaty, may temporarily surrender a person who has been
convicted and sentenced in the requested State, in order that the person sought may be
prosecuted in the requesting State. The temporary surrender of the person shall not
divest the Courts in the requested State of jurisdiction over any appeal or habeas corpus
application relating to the conviction or sentence that otherwise may be available under
the laws of the requested State.

- 2. A person temporarily surrendered pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be kept in custody in the requesting State. The person shall be returned to the requested State within forty-five (45) days after the conclusion of the proceedings for which the person's presence was required in the requesting State or at another time as specified by the requested State, in accordance with conditions to be determined by the Parties for that purpose. The return of the person to the requested State shall not divest the Courts in the requesting State of jurisdiction over any appeal or habeas corpus application that otherwise may be available under the laws of that State, in relation to the matter for which the person was temporarily surrendered.
- The period of time spent in custody in the requesting State may be credited to the sentence in the requested State.
- 4. When the sentence that the person was serving in the requested State expires during the temporary surrender, the requested State may waive the remm of the person and the surrender will be considered to be a final surrender. A "final surrender" is a surrender of a person pursuant to this Treaty other than as provided for by this Article.
- 5. Subject to paragraph 7, if a person temporarily surrendered and renumed to the requested State has been sentenced to imprisonment in the requesting State for the offence for which the person was temporarily surrendered, the person shall be finally surrendered to the requesting State, in accordance with paragraph 6, without a further request for extradition.
- Final surrender shall take place when the person has finished serving the
 custodial portion of the sentence in the requested State, or at an earlier time specified
 by the requested State.
- Final surrender shall not take place when:
 - the requesting State advises that final surrender is no longer required due to the expiration of the sentence imposed or for other reasons; or
 - (b) after the temporary surrender, the warrant or order for the final surrender of a person sought is revoked by the competent authority of the requested State.

1. 中国的国际中国的国际中国的国际

ARTICLE 2

Article 10(2) of the Extradition Treaty is deleted and replaced by the following

- *(2) The documentary evidence in support of a request for extradition or copies of these documents shall be admitted in evidence in the examination of the request for extradition when:
 - in the case of a request emanating from Canada, they are authemicated by an officer of the Department of Justice of Canada and are certified by the principal diplomatic or consular officer of the United States in Canada;

- (b) in the case of a request emanating from the United States for a person who is sought for prosecution, they are certified by a judicial authority or prosecutor who attests that the evidence is available for trial and is sufficient to justify prosecution under the law of the prosecuting jurisdiction. In the case of a request emanating from the United States for a person who is sought in connection with a conviction, the documents must be certified by a judicial, prosecuting or correctional authority who attests to the fact that the documents are accurate; or
- (c) they are certified or authenticated in any other manner accepted by the law of the requested State."

ARTICLE 3

- This Second Protocol shall form an integral part of the Extradition Treaty.
- Notwithstanding paragraph (2) of Article 18 of the Extradition Treaty, this Second Protocol shall apply in all cases where the request for extradition is made after its entry into force regardless of whether the offence was committed before or after that date.
- This Second Protocol shall be subject to ratification, and shall enter into
 force upon the exchange of instruments of ratification. It shall terminate upon
 termination of the Extradition Treaty.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned, being duly authorized by their respective Governments, have signed this Second Protocol.

DONE in deplicate at Office this Tulffle day of Journal 2001 In the English and French languages, the two texts being equally authentic.

FOR THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF

AMERICA

FOR THE GOVERNMENT

OF CANADA

101st Congress 2d Session

SENATE

TREATY DOC. 101-17

PROTOCOL AMENDING THE EXTRADITION TREATY WITH CANADA

MESSAGE

FROM.

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

TRANSMITTING

THE PROTOCOL SIGNED AT OTTAWA ON JANUARY 11, 1988, AMENDING THE TREATY ON EXTRADITION BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND CANADA, SIGNED AT WASHINGTON ON DECEMBER 3, 1971, AS AMENDED BY AN EXCHANGE OF NOTES ON JUNE 28 AND JULY 9, 1974



April 24, 1990.—Protocol was read the first time, and together with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations and ordered to be printed for the use of the Senate

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

39-118

WASHINGTON: 1990

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

THE WHITE HOUSE, April 24, 1990.

To the Senate of the United States:

With a view to receiving the advice and consent of the Senate to ratification, I transmit herewith the Protocol signed at Ottawa on January 11, 1988, amending the Treaty on Extradition Between the United States of America and Canada, signed at Washington on December 3, 1971, as amended by an exchange of notes on June 28 and July 9, 1974. I transmit also, for the information of the Senate, the report of the Department of State with respect to the protocol.

The protocol amends the Extradition Treaty Between the United States and Canada, signed at Washington on December 3, 1971, as amended by an exchange of notes on June 28 and July 9, 1974. It represents an important step in improving law enforcement cooperation and combatting terrorism by excluding from the scope of the political offense exception serious offenses typically committed by terrorists; e.g., murder, manslaughter, kidnapping, use of an explosive device capable of endangering life or causing grievous bodily harm, and attempt or conspiracy to commit the foregoing offenses.

The protocol also will help to improve implementation of the current extradition treaty in several other respects. Most significant, the protocol substitutes a dual criminality clause for the current list of extraditable offenses, so that, inter alia, parental child abduction and certain additional narcotics offenses will be covered by the new treaty.

I recommend that the Senate give early and favorable consideration to the protocol and give its advice and consent to ratification.

GEORGE BUSH.

LETTER OF SUBMITTAL

DEPARTMENT OF STATE, Washington, April 10, 1990.

THE SHE WEST CHARLES

The PRESIDENT,
The White House.

THE PRESIDENT: I have the honor to submit to you the Protocol amending the 1971 Extradition Treaty Between the United States of America and Canada signed at Ottawa January 11, 1988. I recommend that the Protocol be transmitted to the Senate for advice

and consent to ratification.

The Protocol supplements and amends the Extradition Treaty Between the United States and Canada, signed at Washington on December 3, 1971, as amended by an exchange of notes on June 28 and July 9, 1974 (27 U.S.T. 983; TIAS 8237). The Protocol would exclude specified crimes of violence, typically committed by terrorists, from the scope of the political offense exception to extradition. It therefore represents an important step toward improving law enforcement cooperation and countering the threat of international terrorism and other crimes of violence. In addition, the Protocol will help improve the implementation of the current Treaty in several other respects. Most significantly, the Protocol substitutes a dual criminality clause for the current list of extradifable offenses, so that, inter alia, parental child abduction and certain additional narcotics offenses will be covered.

Article 2 of the 1971 Extradition Treaty, as amended, which incorporates a Schedule of extraditable offenses, has been replaced in its entirety. Pursuant to the current Extradition Treaty, only crimes that are listed in the Schedule are considered extraditable offenses. As amended by Article Fof the Protocol, Article 2 of the 1971 Treaty, as amended, adopts a dual criminality approach, which emphasizes extradition based on underlying criminal conduct rather than for a particular offense. A dual criminality clause permits extradition for any crime that is punishable in both countries by imprisonment or other detention for at least one year. Inclusion of a dual criminality clause, therefore, obviates the need to renegotiate or supplement the Treaty as offenses, such as computer-related crimes or money laundering, become punishable under the laws of both states.

Article I of the Protocol replaces Article 2 of the 1971 Treaty and provides that an offense is extraditable notwithstanding that conduct such as interstate transportation or use of the mails or of other facilities affecting interstate or foreign commerce, required for the purpose of establishing jurisdiction, forms part of the offense in the United States. This provision will allow the United States to request extradition for offenses including interstate and foreign travel or transportation in aid of racketeering enterprises

even though the Canadian laws do not include analogous jurisdictional elements for similar underlying criminal behavior. The new provision also stipulates that offenses that relate to taxation or revenue or that are of a "purely fiscal character" will be extraditable offenses.

Article II of the Protocol is a technical amendment, deleting the Schedule of extraditable offenses annexed to the 1971 Treaty, as

amended, and incorporated by reference in Article 2.

Article III of the Protocol deletes Article 3 of the 1971 Treaty, in which a particularized definition of "territory," which was necessary at that time to cover certain types of hijacking offenses, is no longer necessary, as both the United States and Canada are parties to the Hague Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft, done at The Hague December 16, 1970, and entered into force October 14, 1971, (Hijacking Convention) (22 U.S.T. 1641; TIAS 7192) and the Montreal Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts Against the Safety of Civil Aviation, done at Montreal September 23, 1971, and entered into force January 26, 1973, (Sabotage Convention) (24 U.S.T. 564; TIAS 7570).

Article 3(3) of the 1971 Treaty is amended to give the Executive or other appropriate Authority the discretion to extradite fugitives when the requesting state has jurisdiction over an offense in a situation where the laws of the requested state would not provide for jurisdiction in similar circumstances.

jurisdiction in similar circumstances.

Article IV of the Protocol replaces Article 4 of the 1971 Treaty, and effectively limits the scope of the political offense exception. It specifies certain crimes which shall not be regarded as political offenses, including murder, manslaughter, malicious assault, kidnapping, specified explosives offenses, and conspiracy or attempt to commit any of the foregoing offenses.

In addition, Article IV of the Protocol includes a provision that excludes from the reach of the political offense exception any offense for which both the United States and Canada have an international treaty obligation to extradite the person or submit his case for prosecution; e.g., aircraft hijacking pursuant to the Hijacking Convention; aircraft sabotage pursuant to the Sabotage Convention; crimes against internationally protected persons, including diplomats, under the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes against Internationally Protected Persons, including Diplomatic Agents, done at New York December 14, 1973 (28 U.S.T. 1975; TIAS 8532); and hostage taking pursuant to the International Convention against the Taking of Hostages, done at New York on December 17, 1979. This exception will also extend to crimes similarly defined in future multilateral treaties.

Article V of the Protocol replaces Article 7 of the 1971 Extradition Treaty, which allows the Requested State to defer surrender of a fugitive being proceeded against or serving a sentence in its territory until the conclusion of the proceedings and the full execution of any punishment. Under the Protocol, the Requested State has the discretion to choose to extradite to the Requesting State a fugitive who is serving a prison sentence in the Requested State before the expiration of his sentence. This alternative of temporary surrender is routinely included in our modern extradition treaties.

Article VI of the Protocol replaces Article 11(3) of the current Treaty to extend the period of provisional arrest in the Requested State from forty-five days to sixty days, which is the time period most commonly provided under U.S. extradition treaties. The extension will allow prosecutors greater latitude in assembling extradition packages and in making necessary adjustments or additions to the documents.

Article VII of the Protocol amends the 1971 Treaty by adding a provision that establishes that, in cases where both states have jurisdiction to prosecute for an offense, the Executive Authority of the Requested State will consult with the Executive Authority of the Requesting State and make a decision whether to extradite the fugitive, or whether to submit the case to its competent authorities for the purpose of prosecution, after considering all relevant fac-

Article VIII of the Protocol provides that its provisions shall apply to any offense committed, any request made or any person found extraditable before or after the entry into force of the Protocol, but shall not apply to an offense committed before the Protocol enters into force if the offense in question was not an offense under the laws of both Contracting Parties at the time of its commission.

Article IX of the Protocol sets forth the procedures for ratifica-

tion and entry into force.

T enclose, for the information of the Senate, an exchange of letters, dated January 11, 1988, which restates that the transborder abduction of persons found in Canada to the United States of America by civilian agents of bail bonding companies, so called "bounty hunters," is an extraditable offense under the 1971 Extradition Treaty.

The Department of Justice joins the Department of State in favoring transmission of this Protocol to the Senate at the earliest possible date.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES W. BAKER III.

Enclosure: As stated.

THE SECRETARY OF STATE.

Washington, January 11, 1988,... Hon. Joe Clark, P.C., M.P., Secretary of State for External Affairs of Canada, Ottawa.

DEAR MR. MINISTER: I refer to the Protocol Amending the Treaty on Extradition between the United States and Canada we signed today and have the honor to address to you the following.

The United States and Canada recognize that the transborder abduction of persons found in Canada to the United States of America by civilian agents of bail bonding companies, so-called "bounty hunters", is an extraditable offense under the United States-Canada Extradition Treaty.

Where a person has been charged with or convicted of such an offense in Canada and is found within the jurisdiction of the United States, the United States agrees, upon request, to com-mence extradition proceedings against such a person pursuant to the Treaty in order that the person may be returned to Canada.